## **Learn to Shout**

## **Words of Feathers**

I am neither a writer nor an academic nor am I fluent in the Irish Language or Wolof .I can listen, but I find it difficult to hear .I want to provoke you to think your words through.

Before you continue to read further there are obstacles you must encounter .These include credibility, proof of what I write and being realistic how the words I show you began with man. Particularly, since the first man on 'The Isles of Britain and Ireland', was BLACK. After completion of my earlier draft, I wanted to meet with those in authority in Ireland, who are paid very high salaries in many Irish Language Government Bodies, c/o parliamentary services, to explain my findings .My experiences were profound. None would accept that they held the remit to discuss the matter and that included the Department of the Irish Prime Minister and the Irish Language Commission.

The Department of Education proposed various Irish Universities to contact. I did, and Trinity College Dublin refused to meet me and after I persisting and sending them a script of words the administrator only responded by e-mail informing me of the following: 'Sorry for the delay. He has indeed come back to me and I'm afraid that he was very negative about the submission. He said that the rigor of comparative linguistics had not been applied and the emphasis was on superficial similarities between words rather than clear linguistic correspondences amenable to explanation by sound-law theory. He pointed too to a number of errors in the interpretation of Irish words. No attempt was made, he pointed out, to look at early forms of Irish to establish the shape of words at the most relevant time, particularly in the interpretation of surnames but not only there.'

I persisted in wanting to meet TCD only to be told in writing, to go to a Catholic University. I did and was told the same .Suffice to say that they agreed that my findings could be true, and it was possible, and the words did show resonance in the Irish Language and they wanted proof using their academic unproven manmade hypothesis as a tool to prove my claim. Their accusation to me is that I concluded without using their hypothesis.

If you search the internet there are many qualified academic objectors that denounce their imposed obscure techniques. My findings would have the support of Ferdinand Saussure, the father of linguistics , because his theories are based on the premise that a language is based on a 'collective product of social interaction' and the words used in this book never had this discourse therefore his science is not relevant to these peripheral findings shown in this book. What I did find very interesting is that very many of the nominated relevant persons on authority on ancient Irish had strange and eastern European sounding names and were impossible to make contact with . Many with Irish sounded names evaded my approaches.

It may be now appropriate to quote Edward Lorenz:

## Chaos

When the present determines the future, But the approximate presence does not Appropriately determine the future.

Our languages followed humans in a natural way .The sensitivities of predictions made by academics to uncertainties of the current knowledge of human behaviour over a large timescale are a fundamental point and are unstable. The uncertainty is down to the extreme sensitivity of the predictions that is called the initial condition .Academics have made fundamental errors by assuming that it was not possible for early humankind to leave Africa along the West Coast .They have failed to find evidence and their existing records do not hold the findings as in this compendium .Perhaps their reason was that there was no river in a north south direction that would take man through the Sahara Desert as the Nile did on the East Coast .Maybe they could not find fossils to support evidence and how could they when primitive man went by boat and fossils might have gone overboard .In any event the earliest technology was wood only that is not durable to last long .The findings in this compendium do create a human axiom that cannot be contradicted .There is simply no other rational choice than to act according to the best available science , imperfect though , by necessity , its predictions will always be .

I did receive a letter from The Queen of England and The President of Ireland confirming they had received my compendium on the First Words Spoken on the Isles of Britain and Ireland.

One day I met a legal academic in a beautiful coffee shop beside the peoples' park in Limerick and we held a discussion .I explained to him what my findings were based on.

I related to him the following:

'There are very well known web sites that confirm to show how man migrated in a journey from South Central Africa 160,000 years ago and how man travelled along the Nile river through the Sahara Desert and onwards and inhabited the world and that this migration forms the bases on which the current body of knowledge on languages as we know them have been taught and understood.

http://www.bradshawfoundation.com/journey/ I confirmed that I agree with this and do not want to change these facts .This source also states that white man arrived on the Isles of Britain and Ireland from Continental Europe around 8,000 years BC. I do not dispute this either.

He intervened with a sense of disapproval to say: 'What are you trying to say then, John?

My body language and my efforts reasserted themselves to locate a podium at the table and respond .This is what I had to say:

'If you look at the initial movement from this Original Garden in Africa people left to travel in three directions . There were those who travelled along the Nile river, those to the West and those to the South . My story to you is about those who travelled towards Senegal along the Atlantic Coast . The current official records show they stopped there and remained. They could not travel any further north because there was no river flowing in the north direction as did the Nile. The desert was too hot, too big and too dangerous. I believe subsequently they travelled by boat to the Isles of Britain and Ireland many many thousands of years earlier than White man.

He responded: 'How can you prove that'?

I replied: 'I know all their boats that either reached and or they developed in Ireland because they all have African words and form part of Gaelic today '.There are at least six. These include a trend of words. They also brought Irish Dancing , The Irish Goat Fair in Kerry ,Ogham Stones, names on Ptolemy's Map, Place Names , names in politics and the surname of Brian BORÚ '.

His face grimaced and felt a sense of discomfort and surprise and said: Look......em...John. 'Listen' ...'John'. This is how I see it. Try to imagine that you are in court, and you are claiming that 'Jack' owes you €200,000.

I intervened. 'That might only be €200 or at most €2,000. €200,000 is too much'.

Listen John he says: 'Its €200,000. OK?

'Ok'.

'Now you say to the Judge that you did the work and Jack says you did not and it is your word against his. The Judge is likely to agree with Jack and you lose.

I said: 'I agree'. 'But'.

'But what?' 'Now listen, John .You have no answer for this. I mean it so stop '.

'I am serious', I retorted. 'I do have an answer to your query '. 'You see in my case, Jack never appeared in court to hear my claim and even though subsequent attempts were made by order of the Judge to demand his attendance Jack failed to turn up. The Judge in this case subsequently gave an order that my claim is valid. So I won. As I said before nobody has attempted to study and research the journey from West Africa to Ireland. My conclusions are real for now because there is no reasonable alternative on record. All that is offered are qualified assumptions and possibilities without relevant material. Mine is different because mine have relative facts that are significant and there is no alternative contest to these 'now'.

'Ok', he says, 'you have proven it in the example I have demonstrated. But how does your claim in the language findings of yours fit into the idea that Jack did not turn up in court?

'It's simple. In the realm of the best knowledge available to date by all academics it is accepted that there is no evidence available to show on the Isles of Britain and Ireland where the earliest man came from prior to the years 8,000 years BC and the most they can say is to speculate and assume. But clearly, they have admitted they have nothing to support and even their assumptions which are only speculation'.

'So you assume they all came by boat from Senegal to Ireland and that is your claim'?

I know they claim by boat it is my instinct that tells me. 'YES'.

'Why did these Idiots in the Departments in Government not study this before? Don't answer, they were too well paid to move their ARSE.

They Don't Want To Listen '?

'Exactly'.

'They are like the bankers. Bloody Buggers'.

'Yes, I agree and they are choking the potential of the language to be absorbed voluntarily by the people by restraining and constricting it to lots of useless unproven hypotheses.

'Explain'?

'Ok. What I am claiming is that this original language arrived in Ireland directly many many thousands of years earlier perhaps at least 25,000 years and more and without discourse with other languages because this language held its African originality in its purity as they travelled along the Atlantic . This forms the words I have found. My assertion is that the hypothesis used by the academics to insist that the original African words I have found to have been linked with the Indo-European Family is groundless. In addition, their insistence of my comparison meanings with existing Irish words are also groundless because this 'Irish' is Celtic / Indo European and my words that I call Gaelic have separately their own original African roots .

'Do we speak them today'?

'These words form the substrate language prior to the arrival of The Celts and have been absorbed into the Irish language we use today'.

'Amazing'. 'I cannot believe it'. 'Will you have another Flat White Coffee'?

'If you pay for it '.